

To: Edwin Roberson[eroberso@blm.gov]; Deborah Essary[dessary@blm.gov]; Joseph Mendez[jmendez@blm.gov]; Bastian, Randall (Randy)[rbastian@blm.gov]; Tiffany Martinez[tmartine@blm.gov]; Abbie Jossie[ajossie@blm.gov]; Anita Bilbao[abilbao@blm.gov]; Keelee Santos[ksantos@blm.gov]
From: Staszak, Cynthia
Sent: 2017-09-15T12:31:11-04:00
Importance: Normal
Subject: GSENM FY 18 FUNDING PROPOSALS-Status Check @ 11am today-Attachment
Received: 2017-09-15T12:32:01-04:00
DRAFT FY 18 FUNDING PROPOSALS_9-15-17.docx

All:

At 11am, I will provide a status check on where we are at with developing alternative options for dealing with the "worst case scenario" for the FY 18 budget and its impacts on GSENM. I have attached my working draft below.

My intent with this conference call is to provide a broad overview of the alternatives we are working on, and get initial feedback on the path we are taking.

Thanks

Cindy Staszak
Monument Manager
Grand Staircase-Escalante National Monument
669 S. Hwy 89-A
Kanab, UT 84741
Office: 435 644-1240
Cell: 435 691-4340
Fax: 435 644-1250

DRAFT FY 18 FUNDING PROPOSALS
GSENM
September 15, 2017

Background: The FY 2018 planning levels, based on the President's budget has an overall 13-16% reduction. These reductions impact many subactivities. In Utah, BLM is looking at a multitude of options to absorb these reductions, and plan for a "worst case scenario".

Statewide Options:

- (b)(5) DPP [REDACTED]
- [REDACTED]

There are many contingencies that will affect this worst case scenario planning, and adjustments will need to be made as these options materialize:

- FY 18 Budget. We are on a Continuing Resolution through December 8, 2017. Congressional budgets currently add funding to most subactivities.
- Workforce Planning
 - Vera VSIP
 - Normal attrition
- GSENM Monument Review Outcome
- Appeals - PTA

The 1711 subactivity is particularly impacted with a 32% reduction. In Utah this translates to a \$1.6 million shortfall. This leaves Utah \$1.14 million short of covering projected labor costs. Grand Staircase-Escalante National Monument is particularly impacted by this reduction. GSENM has developed several proposals for planning for the "worst case scenario":

OPTION #1: (b)(5) DPP

- A horizontal bar chart illustrating the percentage of respondents who have heard of various environmental terms. The y-axis lists the terms, and the x-axis represents the percentage from 0% to 100%. The bars are black with white outlines.

| Term | Percentage |
|-------------------------|------------|
| Global warming | 98% |
| Green energy | 95% |
| Sustainable development | 92% |
| Carbon footprint | 88% |
| Renewable energy | 85% |
| Eco-friendly | 82% |
| Green economy | 78% |
| Climate change | 75% |
| Energy efficiency | 72% |
| Green technology | 68% |
| Organic food | 65% |
| Green building | 62% |
| Green products | 58% |
| Green infrastructure | 55% |
| Green transportation | 52% |
| Green jobs | 48% |
| Green architecture | 45% |
| Green design | 42% |
| Green labeling | 38% |
| Green packaging | 35% |
| Green standards | 32% |
| Green labeling | 30% |
| Green packaging | 28% |
| Green standards | 25% |
| Green labeling | 22% |
| Green packaging | 20% |
| Green standards | 18% |
| Green labeling | 15% |
| Green packaging | 12% |
| Green standards | 10% |
| Green labeling | 8% |
| Green packaging | 5% |
| Green standards | 3% |
| Green labeling | 2% |
| Green packaging | 1% |
| Green standards | 1% |

TOTAL GSENM SAVINGS: (b)(5)

OPTION #2: (b)(5) DPP

- | Term | Percentage |
|-------------------------------|------------|
| Global warming | 98% |
| Green energy | 95% |
| Sustainable development | 88% |
| Clean energy | 85% |
| Renewable energy | 82% |
| Eco-friendly | 78% |
| Carbon footprint | 75% |
| Green technology | 72% |
| Energy efficiency | 68% |
| Renewable resources | 65% |
| Green products | 62% |
| Carbon emissions | 58% |
| Green infrastructure | 55% |
| Renewable energy sources | 52% |
| Green building | 48% |
| Renewable power | 45% |
| Green economy | 42% |
| Renewable energy alternatives | 38% |
| Green energy solutions | 35% |
| Renewable energy options | 32% |
| Green energy technologies | 28% |
| Renewable energy sources | 25% |
| Green energy industry | 22% |
| Renewable energy market | 18% |
| Green energy future | 15% |
| Renewable energy future | 12% |
| Green energy future | 8% |
| Renewable energy future | 5% |
| Green energy future | 2% |
| Renewable energy future | 1% |
| Green energy future | 0% |

TOTAL GSENM SAVINGS: (b)(5) DPP

OPTION #3: (b)(5) DPP

1

- | Term | Percentage |
|-------------------------|------------|
| Global warming | 98% |
| Green energy | 95% |
| Sustainable development | 92% |
| Clean water | 88% |
| Renewable energy | 85% |
| Carbon footprint | 82% |
| Recycling | 78% |
| Organic food | 75% |
| Biodiversity | 72% |
| Eco-friendly | 68% |
| Green economy | 65% |
| Smart grid | 62% |
| Green building | 58% |
| Green technology | 55% |
| Green infrastructure | 52% |
| Green transportation | 48% |
| Green jobs | 45% |
| Green building | 42% |
| Green infrastructure | 38% |
| Green transportation | 35% |
| Green jobs | 32% |
| Green building | 28% |
| Green infrastructure | 25% |
| Green transportation | 22% |
| Green jobs | 18% |
| Green building | 15% |
| Green infrastructure | 12% |
| Green transportation | 8% |
| Green jobs | 5% |
| Green building | 2% |
| Green infrastructure | 1% |
| Green transportation | 0% |

TOTAL GSENM SAVINGS: (b)(5)